

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re patent of : **Attn: Certificate of Correction Branch**  
Morio TOMIYAMA et al. : Attorney Docket No. 2005\_1488A  
Patent No. 7,539,121 : **Confirmation No. 1629**  
Issued May 26, 2009 :

OPTICAL RECORDING MEDIUM AND  
PROCESS FOR PRODUCING THE SAME

---

**REQUEST FOR CERTIFICATE OF CORRECTION UNDER 37 CFR 1.322**

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In accordance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.322, it is respectfully requested that a Certificate of Correction issue to correct the following:

In column 19, claim 1, line 55, " $\lambda/(5n_2) \leq d_2 \leq \lambda/(3n_2)$  and  $d_2 \neq \lambda/(4n_2)$ " should read --  
 $\lambda/(5n_2) \leq d_2 \leq \lambda/(3n_2)$  and  $d_2 \neq \lambda/(4n_2)$ --.

In column 20, claim 7, line 54, " $\lambda/(5n_1) \leq d_1 \leq \lambda/(3n_1)$  and  $d_1 \neq \lambda/(4n_1)$ " should read --  
 $\lambda/(5n_1) \leq d_1 \leq \lambda/(3n_1)$  and  $d_1 \neq \lambda/(4n_1)$ --.

In column 21, claim 7, line 3, "d," should read -- $d_1$ --.

In column 21, claim 12, line 40, " $\lambda/(5n_1) \leq d_1 \leq \lambda/(3n_1)$  and  $d_1 \neq \lambda/(4n_1)$ " should read --  
 $\lambda/(5n_1) \leq d_1 \leq \lambda/(3n_1)$  and  $d_1 \neq \lambda/(4n_1)$ --.

In column 22, claim 17, line 37, " $\lambda/(5n_2) \leq d_2 \leq \lambda/(3n_2)$  and  $d_2 \neq \lambda/(4n_2)$ " should read --  
 $\lambda/(5n_2) \leq d_2 \leq \lambda/(3n_2)$  and  $d_2 \neq \lambda/(4n_2)$ --.

In column 22, claim 17, line 42, "d," should read -- $d_1$ --.

In column 22, claim 17, line 47, "d," should read -- $d_1$ --.

### **REMARKS**

The above-noted corrections are being made to correct errors made by the USPTO during printing of the above-referenced patent. It is respectfully submitted that the corrections to the claims can be found in the amendment filed on November 17, 2008.

In view of the foregoing, a Certificate of Correction is respectfully requested to correct the above-noted errors at no expense to Patentees. Form PTO-1050 accompanies this request.

Respectfully submitted,

Morio TOMIYAMA et al.

/Kenneth W. Fields/

By 2009.11.19 13:06:05 -05'00'

---

Kenneth W. Fields  
Registration No. 52,430  
Attorney for Patentees

KWF/krg  
Washington, D.C. 20005-1503  
Telephone (202) 721-8200  
Facsimile (202) 721-8250  
November 19, 2009

To: The Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**  
**CERTIFICATE OF CORRECTION**

PATENT NO : 7,539,121  
DATED : May 26, 2009  
INVENTOR(S) : Morio TOMIYAMA et al.

It is certified that error appears in the above-identified patent and that said Letters Patent is hereby corrected as shown below:

In column 19, claim 1, line 55, " $\lambda/(5n_2) \leq d_2 \leq \lambda/(3n_2)$  and  $d_2 \neq \lambda/(4n_2)$ " should read --  
 $\lambda/(5n_2) \leq d_2 \leq \lambda/(3n_2)$  and  $d_2 \neq \lambda/(4n_2)$ --.

In column 20, claim 7, line 54, " $\lambda/(5n_1) \leq d_1 \leq \lambda/(3n_1)$  and  $d_1 \neq \lambda/(4n_1)$ " should read --  
 $\lambda/(5n_1) \leq d_1 \leq \lambda/(3n_1)$  and  $d_1 \neq \lambda/(4n_1)$ --.

In column 21, claim 7, line 3, "d," should read -- $d_1$ --.

In column 21, claim 12, line 40, " $\lambda/(5n_1) \leq d_1 \leq \lambda/(3n_1)$  and  $d_1 \neq \lambda/(4n_1)$ " should read --  
 $\lambda/(5n_1) \leq d_1 \leq \lambda/(3n_1)$  and  $d_1 \neq \lambda/(4n_1)$ --.

In column 22, claim 17, line 37, " $\lambda/(5n_2) \leq d_2 \leq \lambda/(3n_2)$  and  $d_2 \neq \lambda/(4n_2)$ " should read --  
 $\lambda/(5n_2) \leq d_2 \leq \lambda/(3n_2)$  and  $d_2 \neq \lambda/(4n_2)$ --.

In column 22, claim 17, line 42, "d," should read -- $d_1$ --.

In column 22, claim 17, line 47, "d," should read -- $d_1$ --.

Wenderoth, Lind & Ponack, L.L.P.  
1030 15<sup>th</sup> Street, N. W., Suite 400 East  
Washington, D.C. 20005-1503

PATENT NO. 7,539,121

No. of additional copies: None